

FOLIATIONS WITH COMPLEX LEAVES AND INSTABILITY FOR HARMONIC FOLIATIONS

KEI ICHIKAWA AND TOMONORI NODA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study stability for harmonic foliations on locally conformal Kähler manifolds with complex leaves. We also discuss instability for harmonic foliations on compact submanifolds immersed in Euclidean spaces and compact homogeneous spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study stability and instability for harmonic foliations. Let (M, J, g_M) be a Hermitian manifold and Ω the fundamental 2-form associated with g_M . Then (M, J, g_M) is a *locally conformal Kähler* manifold if there exists a closed 1-form ω , called the *Lee form*, satisfying $d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega$. Besides Kähler manifolds, there are numerous examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds. For instance, a Vaisman manifold is known to be a locally conformal Kähler manifold with non-exact and parallel Lee form.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following stability theorem for harmonic foliations on compact locally conformal Kähler manifolds:

MAIN THEOREM. *Let (M, J, g_M) be an n -dimensional compact locally conformal Kähler manifold. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a harmonic foliation on M with bundle-like metric g_M foliated by complex submanifolds, then $\mathcal{F}$ is stable.*

This is an analogue of the theorem “a holomorphic map between two Kähler manifolds is stable as a harmonic map” (see also Corollary 3.1), where harmonicity for a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) is defined by Kamber and Tondeur in [6] as the harmonicity of the canonical projection π from TN onto the normal bundle Q for the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. The key of the proof of Main Theorem is the compatibility of the complex structure with the connection on the normal bundle of the foliation (see Lemma 3.2).

We also discuss instability for harmonic foliations on compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds or compact submanifolds in a Euclidian space. We actually obtain a sufficient condition for a harmonic foliation on compact submanifolds immersed in Euclidean space to be unstable, where its application to the standard sphere allows us to obtain the result

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C12; Secondary 57R30.

Key words and phrases. Kähler manifold, l.c.K. manifold, harmonic foliation, stability, instability.

of Kamber and Tondeur [8]. We also prove that, for a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) satisfying $\lambda_1 < 2s \cdot \dim N$, any harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable, where λ_1 and s denote the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian and the scalar curvature of g_N , respectively. Then instability for harmonic foliations on N is equivalent to the non-existence of stable harmonic map between N and any compact Riemannian manifold (see Theorem 4.8). In particular, we determine all simply connected compact irreducible symmetric spaces whose harmonic foliation is unstable (see Theorem 4.9).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the theory of harmonic foliations by Kamber and Tondeur. Then Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Main Theorem above for harmonic foliations. Finally in Section 4, we shall show Theorem 4.8 and 4.9 on instability of harmonic foliations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to thank Professor Yoshihiro Ohnita for useful suggestion and advice.

2. THE JACOBI OPERATOR AND A STABILITY OF HARMONIC FOLIATIONS

Let (N, g_N) be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation given by an integrable subbundle $L \subset TN$. We define a torsion free connection ∇ on normal bundle $Q = TN/L$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla_X S = \pi[X, Y_S], & \text{for } X \in \Gamma(L), S \in \Gamma(Q) \text{ and } Y_S = \sigma(S) \in \Gamma(\sigma(Q)), \\ \nabla_X S = \pi(\nabla_X^N Y_S), & \text{for } X \in \Gamma(\sigma(Q)), S \in \Gamma(Q) \text{ and } Y_S = \sigma(S) \in \Gamma(\sigma(Q)), \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma : Q \rightarrow TN$ is a splitting such that $\sigma(Q)$ coincides with the orthogonal complement $L^\perp$ of L in TN with respect to g_N . If the normal bundle Q is equipped with a holonomy invariant fiber metric g_Q , i.e. $Xg_Q(S, T) = g_Q(\nabla_X S, T) + g_Q(S, \nabla_X T)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TN)$, the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *Riemannian foliation* or an *R-foliation*. There is a unique metric g_Q for an *R-foliation* with a torsion free connection ∇ on the normal bundle Q . A Riemannian metric g_N on N is called a *bundle-like* metric with respect to the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ if the foliation becomes an *R-foliation* in terms of the fiber metric g_Q induced on Q .

For a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) , the curvature R^∇ of the connection ∇ is an $\text{End}(Q)$ -valued 2-form on N . Since $i(X)R^\nabla = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma(L)$, it follows that the Ricci operator $R^\nabla(S, T) : Q \rightarrow Q$ for $S, T \in \Gamma(Q)$, is well-defined. Define $P^\nabla(U, V) : Q \rightarrow Q$ by $P^\nabla(U, V)S = -R^\nabla(U, S)V$ for all $S \in \Gamma(Q)$. The Ricci curvature S^∇ for $\mathcal{F}$ is then $S^\nabla(U, V) = \text{trace} P^\nabla(U, V)$ which is a symmetric bilinear form. We define the *Ricci operator* $\rho_\nabla : Q \rightarrow Q$ as the corresponding self-adjoint operator given by $g_Q(\rho_\nabla U, V) = S^\nabla(U, V)$, where g_Q denotes the holonomy invariant metric on Q . In terms of an orthonormal basis $e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n$ of Q_x at some $x \in N$, we have $(\rho_\nabla U)_x = \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^n R^\nabla(U, e_\alpha)e_\alpha$.

Denoting by $\pi \in \Omega^1(N, Q)$ the canonical projection from TN onto Q , we have $d_\nabla \pi \in \Omega^2(N, Q)$, $d_\nabla^* \pi \in C^\infty(N, Q)$, the Laplacian Δ on $\Omega^1(N, Q)$ and so forth. Then we have the following fact (Kamber and Tondeur [6, 3.3]).

FACT. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an R -foliation on compact oriented Riemannian manifold N with a bundle-like metric. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) π is harmonic,
- (ii) all leaves for the foliation are minimal submanifolds of N ,
- (iii) $\Delta \pi = 0$.

A foliation is said to be *harmonic* if it satisfies (i) or (ii) in above fact.

We next study first and second variations of R -foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a compact Riemannian manifold (N, g_N) with bundle-like metric g_N . We define the *energy* of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ by

$$E(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\pi\|,$$

where π is the canonical projection from TN onto Q and is considered as a Q -valued 1-form on N . Let $\{U_\alpha, f^\alpha, \gamma^{\alpha\beta}\}$ be the Haefliger cocycle representing $\mathcal{F}$. Namely, $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of N with $f^\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ are local isometries on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta (\neq \emptyset)$ satisfying $f^\alpha = \gamma^{\alpha\beta} f^\beta$. Here q denotes the codimension of $\mathcal{F}$. For $\nu \in \Gamma(Q)$, we put

$$\Phi_t^\alpha(x) = \exp_{f^\alpha(x)}(t\nu^\alpha(x)), \quad x \in U_\alpha, \quad t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon),$$

where $\nu^\alpha = \nu|_{U_\alpha}$. We then have a variation Φ_t^α of $f^\alpha = \Phi_0^\alpha$, where ε is sufficiently small. Since $\Phi_t^\alpha(x) = \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \Phi_t^\beta(x)$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, the local variations $\{\Phi_t^\alpha\}$ define a variation $\mathcal{F}_t$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover we have

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}}(\Phi_t^\alpha)_* = \nabla \nu^\alpha \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha, Q).$$

To obtain the second variation, we need a 2-parameter variation $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{0,0} = \mathcal{F}$ defined locally as $\Phi_{s,t}^\alpha$, where

$$\Phi_{s,t}^\alpha(x) = \exp_{f^\alpha(x)}(s\mu^\alpha(x)) + t\nu^\alpha(x), \quad x \in U_\alpha, \quad s, t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$$

for $\nu, \mu \in \Gamma(Q)$. Then by (2.2)

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}|_{s=0,t=0}}(\Phi_{s,t}^\alpha)_* = \nabla \mu^\alpha, \\ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{s=0,t=0}}(\Phi_{s,t}^\alpha)_* = \nabla \nu^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

The second variation formula is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{s=0,t=0} E(\mathcal{F}_{s,t}) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{s=0,t=0} \frac{1}{2} \langle \pi_{s,t}, \pi_{s,t} \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0,t=0} \langle \nabla \nu, \pi_{s,t} \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \nabla \nu, \pi \rangle + \langle \nabla \nu, \nabla \mu \rangle = \langle R^\nabla(\mu, \pi) \nu, \pi \rangle + \langle \nabla \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \nu, \pi \rangle + \langle d_\nabla \nu, d_\nabla \mu \rangle \\ &= -\langle R^\nabla(\mu, \pi) \pi, \nu \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \nu, d_\nabla^* \pi \rangle + \langle d_\nabla^* d_\nabla \mu, \nu \rangle = \langle (\Delta - \rho_\nabla) \nu, \mu \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \nu, d_\nabla^* \pi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where R^∇ and ρ_∇ are the curvature and the Ricci operator for Q , respectively. For a harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \right|_{s=0, t=0} E(\mathcal{F}_{s,t}) = \langle (\Delta - \rho_\nabla)\mu, \nu \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}_\nabla \mu, \nu \rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_\nabla = \Delta - \rho_\nabla$ is the Jacobi operator of $\mathcal{F}$. Note that the Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}_\nabla$ is a self-adjoint and strongly elliptic with real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_i < \cdots \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$. Here the dimension of each eigenspace $V_\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \{\nu \in \Gamma(Q); \mathcal{J}_\nabla \nu = \lambda \nu\}$ is finite, i.e. $\dim V_\lambda(\mathcal{F}) < \infty$.

DEFINITION. The *index* of a harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$\text{index}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} \dim V_{\lambda_i}(\mathcal{F})$$

and a harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be *stable* if $\text{index}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, i.e. $\langle \mathcal{J}_\nabla \nu, \nu \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\nu \in \Gamma(Q)$.

Note that this definition makes sense for the case of harmonic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with bundle-like metric g_N , because if g_N is not bundle-like, then the equality (2.3) does not hold in general.

3. HARMONIC FOLIATIONS ON LOCALLY CONFORMAL KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

The purpose of this section is to prove Main Theorem in Introduction.

For a locally conformal Kähler manifold (M, J, g_M) with Ω and ω , let $B = \omega^\sharp$ be the *Lee vector field*, where $\sharp$ denotes the raising of indices with respect to g_M .

The case when ω is identically zero, (M, J, g_M) is a Kähler manifold. Any complex submanifold of a Kähler manifold is also Kähler, and especially, is minimal. Hence, in this case, we have the following:

COROLLARY 3.1. *The foliations on compact Kähler manifolds with a bundle-like metric foliated by complex submanifolds are stable.*

The following lemma is crucial in the proof of Main Theorem:

LEMMA 3.2. *The connection ∇ on Q defined in (2.1) satisfies $\nabla_X J_Q S = J_Q \nabla_X S$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $S \in \Gamma(Q)$, where J_Q denotes the almost complex structure on Q induced by J on M .*

PROOF. We first note that any complex submanifold N of a locally conformal Kähler manifold M is minimal if and only if the Lee vector field B for M is tangent to N (for instance, see Dragomir and Ornea [2, Theorem 12.1]). Let ∇^M be the Levi-Civita

connection of (M, g_M) . Then for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\nabla_X^M JY = J\nabla_X^M Y + \frac{1}{2}\{\theta(Y)X - \omega(Y)JX - g_M(X, Y)A - \Omega(X, Y)B\},$$

where $\theta = \omega \circ J$ and $A = -JB$. Then if $X \in \Gamma(\sigma(Q))$ and $Y \in \Gamma(Q)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X J_Q S - J_Q \nabla_X S &= \pi(\nabla_X^M JY_S - J\nabla_X^M Y_S) \\ &= \pi\left(\frac{1}{2}\{\theta(Y_S)X - \omega(Y_S)JX - g_M(X, Y_S)A - \Omega(X, Y_S)B\}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by $\theta(Y_S) = \omega(Y_S) = 0$. On the other hand, if $X \in \Gamma(L)$ and $S \in \Gamma(Q)$, by Proposition 2.2 of Dragomir and Ornea [2] (cf. Vaisman [15]), we have $[X, JY_S] - J[X, Y_S] \in L$. Then

$$\nabla_X J_Q S - J_Q \nabla_X S = \pi([X, JY_S] - J[X, Y_S]) = 0,$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

We define a linear differential operator $D : \Gamma(Q) \rightarrow \Gamma(Q \otimes T^*M)$ of first order by

$$DV(X) = \nabla_{JX} V - J_Q \nabla_X V, \quad V \in \Gamma(Q) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(TM).$$

PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM. It suffices to show

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{J}_\nabla V, V \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle DV, DV \rangle$$

for all $V \in \Gamma(Q)$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ be a local orthonormal frame such that $Je_i = f_i$, $Jf_i = -e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and that the frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, f_1, \dots, f_p\}$ spans $\mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \langle \mathcal{J}_\nabla V, V \rangle &= \langle d_\nabla^* d_\nabla V, V \rangle - \langle \rho_\nabla V, V \rangle = \langle d_\nabla V, d_\nabla V \rangle - \langle R^\nabla(V, \pi)\pi, V \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) v_M + \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{f_i} V, \nabla_{f_i} V) v_M \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=p+1}^n \left\{ \int_M g_Q(R^\nabla(V, e_i)e_i, V) v_M + \int_M g_Q(R^\nabla(V, f_i)f_i, V) v_M \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\langle DV, DV \rangle$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \langle DV, DV \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_M g_Q(DV(e_i), DV(e_i))v_M + \int_M g_Q(DV(f_i), DV(f_i))v_M \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_M g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V - J \nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V - J \nabla_{e_i} V) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g_Q(\nabla_{J f_i} V - J \nabla_{f_i} V, \nabla_{J f_i} V - J \nabla_{f_i} V)v_M \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{ g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V) - 2g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) \\
&\quad + g_Q(J \nabla_{e_i} V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) \\
&\quad + 2g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) + g_Q(J \nabla_{J e_i} V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) \} v_M \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{ g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V) \\
&\quad + g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) - g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) \} v_M \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{ g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V) + e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) \\
&\quad - g_Q(V, J \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{J e_i} V) - J e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(V, J \nabla_{J e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V) \} v_M \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{ g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V) + e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) \\
&\quad - J e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) - g_Q(V, J R^\nabla(e_i, J e_i)V) - g_Q(V, J \nabla_{[e_i, J e_i]} V) \} v_M.
\end{aligned}$$

We also observe that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{ e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) - J e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) - g_Q(V, J \nabla_{[e_i, J e_i]} V) \} v_M = 0,$$

because if $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ is defined by $g_M(X, Y) = g_Q(\nabla_{JY} V, JV)$, then the following computation of $\operatorname{div}(X)$ together with $\int_M \operatorname{div}(X)v_M = 0$ allows us to obtain (3.6):

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div}(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ g_M(e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^M X) + g_M(J e_i, \nabla_{J e_i}^M X) \} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ e_i g_M(e_i, X) - g_M(\nabla_{e_i}^M e_i, X) + J e_i g_M(J e_i, X) - g_M(\nabla_{J e_i}^M J e_i, X) \} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ e_i g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, JV) - g_Q(\nabla_{J \nabla_{e_i}^M} V, JV) \\
&\quad + J e_i g_Q(\nabla_{J J e_i} V, JV) - g_Q(\nabla_{J \nabla_{J e_i}^M} V, JV) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \{e_i g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, J V) - J e_i g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, J V) v \\
&\quad - g_Q(\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^M J e_i} V, J V) + g_Q(\nabla_{\nabla_{J e_i}^M e_i} V, J V)\} \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^n \{e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{J e_i} V) - J e_i g_Q(V, J \nabla_{e_i} V) - g_Q(V, J \nabla_{[e_i, J e_i]} V)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \langle DV, DV \rangle &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V) \\
&\quad - g_Q(V, J R^\nabla(e_i, J e_i) V)\} v_M.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad R^\nabla(e_i, J e_i) V &= \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{J e_i} V - \nabla_{J e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V - \nabla_{[e_i, J e_i]} V \\
&= \pi[e_i, \pi[J e_i, V]] - \pi[J e_i, \pi[e_i, V]] - \pi[[e_i, J e_i], V] \\
&= \pi[e_i, \pi[J e_i, V]] + \pi[J e_i, \pi[V, e_i]] + \pi[V, [e_i, J e_i]] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because the foliation is involutive satisfying

$$\pi[e_i, \pi^\perp[J e_i, V]] = 0 = \pi[J e_i, \pi^\perp[e_i, V]],$$

where $\pi^\perp = \text{id} - \pi$. Furthermore, for $p+1 \leq i \leq n$, the Bianchi identity shows that

$$(3.9) \quad J R^\nabla(e_i, J e_i) V = -J R^\nabla(J e_i, V) e_i - J R^\nabla(V, e_i) J e_i = R^\nabla(V, J e_i) J e_i + R^\nabla(V, e_i) e_i$$

Thus, by (3.4), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain the required identity (3.3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \langle DV, DV \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_M \{g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V) + g_Q(\nabla_{J e_i} V, \nabla_{J e_i} V)\} v_M \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=p+1}^n \int_M \{g_Q(R^\nabla(V, e_i) e_i, V) + g_Q(R^\nabla(V, J e_i) J e_i, V)\} v_M \\
&= \langle \mathcal{J}_\nabla V, V \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

In the remainder of Section 3, we give an example of a stable harmonic foliation on a locally conformal Kähler manifold. Let λ be a complex number satisfying $|\lambda| \neq 1$. Denote by $\langle \lambda \rangle$ the cyclic group generated by the transformation $: (z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (\lambda z_1, \dots, \lambda z_n)$ of $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$. Since this group acts freely and holomorphically on $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$, the quotient space $\mathbb{C}H^n := (\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}) / \langle \lambda \rangle$ is a complex manifold called a *Hopf manifold*. Consider the Hermitian metric $g_0 = (\sum_{k=1}^n dz^k \otimes d\bar{z}^k) / \|z\|^2$ on $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$. Then g_0 gives not only a locally conformal Kähler structure but also a Vaisman manifold structure on $\mathbb{C}H^n$ with Lee form $\omega_0 = -\{\sum_{k=1}^n (z^k d\bar{z}^k + \bar{z}^k dz^k)\} / \|z\|^2$. It is well-known that $\mathbb{C}H^n$ has a principal $T_\mathbb{C}^1$ -bundle

structure over the projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Then the foliation on $\mathbb{C}H^n$ defined by the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}H^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is harmonic and is stable by Main Theorem, where the metric on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is the Fubini-Study metric.

REMARK. (i) More generally, Main Theorem is valid even if M is (not necessarily Kähler and is) just a compact Hermitian manifold, provided that the connection ∇ defined by (2.1) satisfies Lemma 3.2.

(ii) As to stable harmonic foliations, there exists an example foliated by fibers of a Riemannian submersion whose base space is not a complex manifold. A typical example is the twistor space of a quaternionic Kähler manifold.

4. INSTABILITY OF HARMONIC FOLIATIONS

In this section, we discuss instability for harmonic foliations on Riemannian manifolds. Let (N, g_N) be a Riemannian manifold. By the Weitzenböck formula we have $(\Delta\pi) = \nabla^*\nabla\pi + S(\pi)$, where by using a local frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for TN , we put

$$\nabla^*\nabla\pi = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i, e_i}^2 \pi) \text{ and } S(\pi)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{R^\nabla(e_i, X)\pi(e_i) - \pi(R^N(e_i, X)e_i)\}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TN)$. Here R^∇ and R^N denote the curvature tensors associated to ∇ and ∇^N , respectively. We then have

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta\pi = \nabla^*\nabla\pi - \rho_\Delta \cdot \pi + \pi \cdot \rho_N,$$

in view of the equality

$$S(\pi)(X) = -\sum_{i=p+1}^n R^\nabla(\pi(X), e_i)e_i + \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R^N(X, e_i)e_i\right) = -(\rho_\Delta\pi(X)) + \pi(\rho_N(X)).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N , i.e., the canonical projection $\pi : TN \rightarrow Q$ satisfies $\Delta\pi = 0$. Then (4.1) is expressible as

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_\nabla \cdot \pi = \nabla^*\nabla\pi + \pi \cdot \rho_N.$$

On the other hand, by operating the Laplacian on $\pi(X)$, $X \in \Gamma(TN)$, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta(\pi(X)) &= d_\nabla^* d_\nabla(\pi(X)) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{e_i, e_i}^2(\pi(X)) \\ &= (\nabla^*\nabla)(X) + \pi(\nabla^{N*}\nabla^N X) - 2\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}\pi)(\nabla_{e_i}^N X). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), $\mathcal{J}_\nabla(\pi(X)) = (\Delta - \rho_\nabla)(\pi(X))$ is written as

$$\mathcal{J}_\nabla(\pi(X)) = -(\pi \cdot \rho_N)(X) + \pi(\nabla^{N*}\nabla^N X) - 2\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}\pi)(\nabla_{e_i}^N X).$$

Assume that N is a compact submanifold immersed in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^n$ with the standard inner product $\ll \cdot, \cdot \gg$. For each vector v in $\mathbb{E}^n$, we define a smooth function f_v on N by $f_v(x) := \ll v, x \gg$ for $x \in N$. We denote by $\Psi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow generated by $V = \text{grad} f_v$. Simple computations give us

$$(4.4) \quad \ll \nabla_X^N Y \gg = \ll B(X, Y), v \gg,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \ll (\nabla^N)^2_{X,Z} Y \gg = - \ll B(X, Y), B(Z, V) \gg + \ll (\nabla B)(X, Y, Z), v \gg,$$

where B denotes the second fundamental form for the submanifold N in $\mathbb{E}^n$.

The energy functional for $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by $E(\mathcal{F}) = (1/2) \int_N \|\pi\|$. Consider the associated quadratic form $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$ by setting

$$Q_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\Psi_t)|_{t=0} = \int_N g_N(\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}(\pi(V)), \pi(V)).$$

We shall now compute the trace $\text{Tr}(Q_{\mathcal{F}})$ of $Q_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathbb{E}^n$. By (4.4) and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} g_Q(\mathcal{J}_{\nabla}(\pi(V)), \pi(V)) &= -g_Q(\pi(\rho_N(V)), \pi(V)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l=1}^n \ll B(e_k, e_l), B(e_k, V) \gg g_Q(\pi(e_l), \pi(V)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k,l=1}^n \ll (\nabla B)(e_k, e_l, e_k), v \gg g_Q(\pi(e_l), \pi(V)) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{k,l=1}^n \ll B(e_k, e_l), v \gg g_Q((\nabla_{e_k} \pi)(e_l), \pi(V)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(Q_{\mathcal{F}}) &= \int_N \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^n g_Q(\pi(\rho_N(e_k)), \pi(e_k)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k,l,m=1}^n \ll B(e_k, e_l), B(e_l, e_m) \gg g_Q(\pi(e_k), \pi(e_m)) \right\} \\ &= \int_N \sum_{a=p+1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^p \ll B(e_a, e_j), B(e_j, e_a) \gg - \ll \rho_N(e_a), e_a \gg \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let η denote the mean curvature vector of the submanifold N in $\mathbb{E}^n$. Then by the equation of Gauss, we obtain

$$\text{Tr} Q_{\mathcal{F}} = \int_N \sum_{a=p+1}^n (n \ll B(e_a, e_a), \eta \gg - 2 \ll \rho_N(e_a), e_a \gg).$$

This immediately implies

LEMMA 4.6. *Let (N, g_N) be an n -dimensional compact submanifold immersed in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^N$. If N satisfies*

$$n \ll B(u, u), \eta \gg -2 \ll \rho_N(u), u \gg < 0$$

for all unit vector u in TN , then every Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable.

In the case where N is the standard sphere, the above result was proved by Kamber and Tondeur [8]. This lemma is a generalization of a result of Ohnita [10] known for harmonic maps. The following is now straightforward from Lemma 4.6.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let N be an n -dimensional compact minimal submanifold of a unit sphere $S^{N-1}(1)$. If the Ricci curvature S_N of N satisfies $S_N > 2/n$, then every Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable.*

It might be of some interest to compare results on instability for harmonic foliations with that of harmonic maps. Hence, by combining Theorem 4.7 above with Theorem 4 of [10], we obtain:

THEOREM 4.8. *Let (N, g_N) be an n -dimensional compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold with irreducible isotropy representation. For (N, g_N) , let s and λ_1 denote the scalar curvature and the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian acting on functions, respectively. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:*

- (1) $\lambda_1 < 2s/n$.
- (2) *Every Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable.*
- (3) *There exist no nonconstant stable harmonic maps from N to Riemannian manifolds.*
- (4) *There exist no nonconstant stable harmonic maps from compact Riemannian manifolds to N .*
- (5) *The identity map id_N of N onto itself is unstable as a harmonic map.*

PROOF. The implications (3) $\implies$ (5) and (4) $\implies$ (5) are trivial. Since the stability of the point foliation on N is equivalent to the stability of id_N as a harmonic map, (2) implies (5). Since N is an Einstein manifold from a result of Smith [11], we have the equivalence (1) $\iff$ (5). Hence, it suffices to show (1) implies (2), (3) and (4). By virtue of the theorem of Takahashi [12], there exists a standard minimal immersion φ of N into a unit hypersphere $S^m(1)$ by using an orthonormal basis for the first eigenspace of the Laplacian in such a way that φ is an isometric immersion of $(N, (\lambda_1/n)g_N)$ into $S^m(1)$. Then the Ricci curvature of $(N, (\lambda_1/n)g_N)$ is greater than $n/2$. By Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 1 of Ohnita [10], we obtain (2), (3) and (4). $\square$

REMARK. Theorem 4.8 is valid even if we replace homogeneous N above by a strongly harmonic manifold. However, for strongly harmonic manifolds, no inhomogeneous examples are known (c.f. Besse [1]).

Compact irreducible symmetric spaces which satisfy $\lambda_1 < 2s/n$ were determined by Smith [11], Nagano [9] and Ohnita [10]. Thus we obtain

THEOREM 4.9. *Let (N, g_N) be a compact irreducible symmetric space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Any Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable.*
- (2) *N is simply connected and belongs to one of the following:*
 - (a) $SU(n)$ ($n \geq 2$), (b) $Sp(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) (c) $SU(2n)/Sp(n)$ ($n \geq 3$) (d) S^n ($n \geq 3$)
 - (e) $G_{p,q}(\mathbb{H}) = Sp(p+q)/Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$ ($p \geq q \geq 1$) (f) E_6/F_4 (g) $P_2(\mathbb{O}) = F_4/Spin(9)$.

Applying Lemma 4.6 to product isometric immersion, we have the following:

COROLLARY. *If (N, g_N) is a product of simply connected compact irreducible symmetric spaces belonging to the list in (2) of Theorem 4.9, then every Riemannian and harmonic foliation on N with bundle-like g_N is unstable.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BESSE, Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed, With appendices by D. B. A. Epstein, J.-P. Bourguignon, L. Berard-Bergery, M. Berger and J. L. Kazdan. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas]*, 93. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978.
- [2] S. DRAGOMIR AND L. ORNEA, Locally conformal Kähler geometry, *Progress in Math.* 155, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [3] J. EELLS AND L. LEMAIRE, Selected topics of Harmonic maps, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, 50. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1983.
- [4] J. EELLS AND J.H. SAMPSON, Harmonic mapping of Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [5] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, *Foundation of differential geometry I, II*, John Wiley Sons, Inc., New York.
- [6] F.W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, Harmonic Foliation, *Lecture Note in Math.* 949, 87–121, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [7] F.W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliation, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 34 (1982), 525–538.
- [8] F.W. KAMBER AND PH. TONDEUR, The index of harmonic foliations on spheres, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 275 (1983), 257–263.
- [9] T. NAGANO, Stability of harmonic maps between symmetric spaces, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 949, 130–137, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

- [10] Y. OHNITA, Stability of harmonic maps and standard minimal immersions, Tôhoku Math. J. 38 (1986), 259–267.
- [11] R.T. SMITH, The second variational formulas for harmonic mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1975), 229–236.
- [12] T. TAKAHASHI, Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 380–385.
- [13] PH. TONDEUR, Foliation on Riemannian manifolds, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988
- [14] H. URAKAWA, Calculus of variation and harmonic map, Translated from the 1990 Japanese original by the author. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 132. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [15] I. VAISMAN, On the analytic distributions and foliations of a Kaehler manifold, Proc. A.M.S. 58 (1976), 221–228.

KAWAGOE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL
2-6 KURUWA
KAWAGOE SAITAMA
350-0053
JAPAN

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
1-1 MACHIKANNEYAMA
TOYONAKA, OSAKA
560-0043
JAPAN
E-mail address: momentmap@yahoo.co.jp